

Test of independence for two-way contingency tables

Application of log likelihood ratio
to child acquisition data

Contents

- Odds ratio and log odds ratio
- Log likelihood and chi-square
- Application:
acquisition of relative clause barrierhood in wh-questions and quantifiers

Open questions marked red!

Odds ratio

| X / Y | Yes | No |
|--------|-----|--------|
| Male | P1 | (1-P1) |
| Female | P2 | (1-P2) |

$$\text{Odds} = P1/(1-P1)$$

$$\text{Odds ratio } (\theta) = \text{odds1/odds2} = \frac{P1/(1-P1)}{P2/(1-P2)}$$

Inference from odds ratio

Baseline for comparison = 1 = independent

$0 < \theta < 1$: success less likely in row 1 than in row 2

$1 < \theta < \infty$: success more likely in row 1 than in row 2

In general:

further away from 1 in any direction =
stronger association /less independent

Log odds ratio

- Problem: small – moderate sample sizes
odds ratio = skewed
- Solution: apply natural logarithm (log)

| Odds ratio | Log odds ratio |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.7 |
| 0.5 | -0.7 |

Significance test

- Log likelihood ratio (G^2)

$$G^2 = 2 \sum_{nij} \log (n_{ij}/\mu_{ij})$$

Question: what is the relation between log odds ratio and (this formula of) log likelihood ratio?

Independence = 0: larger = less independent

Question: can the outcome also be $-x$?

P-value: estimates significance

Comparison X^2 and log likelihood

$$X^2 = \sum (n_{ij} - \mu_{ij})^2 / \mu_{ij}$$

$$G^2 = 2 \sum_{nij} \log (n_{ij}/\mu_{ij})$$

X^2

- overestimates effect in large sample size
- misses effect in small sample size
- observations must be independent

Log odds ratio

- independent of sample size
- invariant of marginal distribution
- invariant of row/column order

Introduction study (1)

Relative clause barrierhood:

Hoe zei Kees [dat de jongen gevallen was]?

How did Kees say the boy fell?

→ LD (how – fell) and SD (how – say)

Hoe hielp de sterke Indiaan de Indiaan [die naar zijn wigwam ging]?

How did the strong Indian help the Indian who went to his wigwam?

→ only SD (how – help)

Introduction study (2)

Relative clause barrierhood:

Alle cowboys zitten op een paard.

Every cowboy is sitting on a horse.

→distributive or collective

Er is een paard [waar alle cowboys op zitten].

There is a horse that every cowboy is sitting on.

→collective only

Results

| | Quantifiers | | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| WH | yes | no | Total |
| yes | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| no | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Total | 9 | 11 | 20 |

Application (1)

- Analyze – descriptive statistics – crosstabs:

wh * quant Crosstabulation

| | | | quant | | Total |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|------|-------|
| | | | ja | nee | |
| wh | ja | Count | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| | | Expected Count | 7,2 | 8,8 | 16,0 |
| | nee | Count | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| | | Expected Count | 1,8 | 2,2 | 4,0 |
| Total | Count | | 9 | 11 | 20 |
| | Expected Count | | 9,0 | 11,0 | 20,0 |

Application (2)

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (1-sided) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 4,091 ^b | 1 | ,043 | | |
| Continuity Correction ^a | 2,134 | 1 | ,144 | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 5,595 | 1 | ,018 | | |
| Fisher's Exact Test | | | | ,094 | ,068 |
| N of Valid Cases | 20 | | | | |

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1,80.

Question:

1. what exactly is likelihood ratio?
2. If not Log likelihood ratio then where to find log likelihood ratio in SPSS?

Application (3)

Observations adjusted:

wh * quant Crosstabulation

| | | | quant | | Total |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|------|-------|
| | | | ja | nee | |
| wh | ja | Count | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| | | Expected Count | 7,6 | 8,4 | 16,0 |
| | nee | Count | 10 | 14 | 24 |
| | | Expected Count | 11,4 | 12,6 | 24,0 |
| Total | Count | 19 | 21 | 40 | |
| | Expected Count | 19,0 | 21,0 | 40,0 | |

Application (4)

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (1-sided) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | ,819 ^b | 1 | ,366 | ,520 | ,281 |
| Continuity Correction ^a | ,338 | 1 | ,561 | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | ,820 | 1 | ,365 | ,520 | ,281 |
| Fisher's Exact Test | | | | ,520 | ,281 |
| N of Valid Cases | 40 | | | | |

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7,60.

Conclusions

- With large enough samples chi-square and log likelihood give same results
- Log likelihood is independent of sample size, marginal distribution and row/column order and therefore often more reliable