

# **Correlation and Regression**

Idea: weight increases as height increase **or** recognition time decreases as word frequency increases

- descriptive statistics
  - two or more numerical variables, e.g. height & weight, etc.
  - correlation: symmetric
  - regression: asymmetric
- may be interpreted inferentially
  - usually vs.  $H_0$  "no relation"
    - \* correlation  $H_0$ : r = 0
    - \* regresson  $H_0$ : m = 0where *m* is slope of least-squares regression line

## RuG



### **Correlation and Regression**

Inf. Stats

1

Inf. Stats

- appropriate
  - two (or more) numerical measures on the same individuals
  - like paired t-test
    - unlike  $\chi^2$ , *z*-test, unpaired t-test
  - especially useful with two (or more) independent variables
  - example: incidence of heart attack (dependent)
    - \* amount of smoking (dependent +)
    - \* degree of overweight (dependent +)
    - \* frequency of physical exercise (dependent -)
    - \* ...





### **Reminder**—Correlation

Inf. Stats

r – product of standardized values

$$r_{x,y} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s_x} \right) \left( \frac{y_i - \bar{y}}{s_y} \right)$$
(1)

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{x_i} z_{y_i}$$
 (2)

- 0 no correlation
- 1 perfect positive correlation
- -1 perfect negative correlation

RuG

### **Reminder**—Correlation

Inf. Stats

3

- r ranges: -1 < r < 1
- r "pure number" no units
- insensitive to scale, percentages, ... correlation w. temperature can ignore scale
- symmetric  $r_{x,y} = r_{y,x}$ 
  - no necessary dependence
  - shoe size and reading ability correlate in kids
     —both dependent on age
- r measures "clustering" relative to  $\sigma_y/\sigma_x$ as  $r \rightarrow 1$ (or -1), dots cluster near line
- *r* sensitive to influential datapoints extreme *x* values





### Example

Inf. Stats

A course in time management skills claims to completely change employees. You are sceptical, suspect that many skills are related to personality, experience, and custom. You obtain test scores given before and after the course to 25 employees. The test itself is regarded as reliable. Data:

5.8	6.0	5.9	6.1	5.7	6.0	5.9	6.1	5.7	5.9
5.2	5.1	5.6	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.8	6.0	6.1	6.3
5.9	6.3	6.9	7.0	6.3	6.4	5.9	6.0	5.1	6.2
5.7	6.1	6.0	6.2	5.1	5.0	6.1	5.9	6.4	6.0
6.2	6.1	5.8	6.0	5.6	6.4	6.8	7.1	6.3	6.9

 $m_{<} = 5.9, \ m_{>} = 6.1 \ s_{<} = s_{>} = 0.45$ 

t-test possible, shows no sig. difference at p = 0.01

suspicion (H'): pre-course skills (most) important determinant of post-course skills how to translate this into statistic?

RuG

### **Scores Correlate?**

Inf. Stats

5

If pre-course results determine post-course results, they should **correlate**, i.e.  $r \neq 0$ 



looks like positive tendency, calculate r





### **Scores Correlate?**

Inf. Stats

 $H_0$ : r = 0 (no correlation in pre-, post-course skills)  $H_a$ :  $r \neq 0$  (correlation in pre-, post-course skills)

calculate r

- - Correlation Coefficients - -AFTER BEFORE AFTER 1.00 .77 ( 25) ( 25) P=. P=.000 BEFORE .77 1.00 ( 25) ( 25) P=.000 P=.

result: certain correlation, reject  $H_0$ 

no confidence interval calculated (complex)





### **Scores Correlate?**

Inf. Stats

7

r = 0.77, p < 0.001—but take care: correlation sensitive to influential data—look!



No apparent extremes, but recall connection correlation & regression.





### **Regression Analysis**

We use regression to predict one numerical variable using another. Regression produces values  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$  in equation:

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1 \times x$$

 $H_0$ :  $b_1 = 0$  (no correlation in pre-, post-course skills)

 $H_a$ :  $b_1 \neq 0$  (correlation is real)

Regression, too, tests hypothesis whether pre-course scores influence post-course scores. Can we predict post-course scores using pre-course scores?

### RuG

### **Regression Analysis**

Inf. Stats

9

Invoke linear regression to obtain

Variables	in	the	Equation	
Variable		В		
BEFORE (Constant)	1.	.81 .35	· · · · · · ·	

 $\hat{y} = 1.35 + 0.81 \times x$ 

But alone, this shows nothing about  $H_0!$ 

**Recall** correlation-regression connection:  $b_1 = r \frac{s_y}{s_x}$ 

Thus, tests closely related:  $r = 0 \rightarrow r \frac{sy}{s_x} = 0$ 





# **Regression Analysis**

Inf. Stats

Two kinds of confidence intervals

- range of **individual** values  $(s_y)$
- range of expected **means** (SE<sub>y</sub>)
- Given x, where will 95% of corresponding y values be?
- Given x, where will 95% of corresponding samples means be?



# **Confidence Interval for Individuals**

Inf. Stats

11

Invoke regression, confidence interval for individuals



Given x, where will 95% of corresponding y values be?





# **Confidence Interval for Means**

Inf. Stats



invoke regression, confidence interval for means







# **Confidence Interval for Means**

Inf. Stats

—needed for hypothesis that  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ 

two inferential steps possible

- derive confidence interval for  $\beta_1$
- test  $H_a$ :  $\beta_1 \neq 0$

--- Variables in the Equation ---Variable B ... 95% Confduce Intrvl B BEFORE .81 ... .52 1.1 (Constant) 1.35 ... -.37 3.1

Given this sample, there is less than 2.5% chance that  $\beta_1 < 0.52$ . Pre-course scores are significant!





## Alternative Test whether $H_a$ : $\beta_1 \neq 0$

Inf. Stats

\*\* MULTIPLE REGRESSION \*\*
Eq. Nr. 1 Dependent Variable.. AFTER after training
Block Number 1. Method: Enter BEFORE
Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
1.. BEFORE result before training
..
--- Variables in the Equation --Variable B SE B 95% Confdnce Intrvl ...
BEFORE .81 .14 .52 1.1
(Constant) 1.35 ... ... ...
-----Variable T Sig T
BEFORE 5.728 .0000
(Constant) 1.620 .1188



RuG

### **Methode behind Alternative Test**

Inf. Stats

15

$$t = \frac{b_1}{s_{b_1}} = \frac{0.81}{0.14} = 5.7$$

Roughly, how many sd's is  $b_1$  from 0?

24(=n-1) degrees of freedom

P(t(24) > 3.75) = 0.0005 from table (hidden in SPSS)





# Examine Residuals!

**Recall:** regression sensitive to extreme x values, expects roughly normal distribution(s)

Check via residuals, invoke via SPSS regression analysis







Inf. Stats



result before training

Still looks OK

Alternative view: normal quantile plots







Normal distribution shows up clustered around straight line—this is fine.



# **Correlation/Regression**

Inf. Stats

19

- Test for relation between two numeric variables
  - correlation: symmetric
  - regression: asymmetric x influences y
- Test contrasts vs. *H*<sup>0</sup> "no relation"
  - correlation  $H_0$ : r = 0
  - regresson  $H_0$ :  $\beta_1 = 0$
  - where  $\beta_1$  is slope of least-squares regression line
- Assume normally distributed variablesExtensions to multiple regression possible, very powerful





RuG

RuG



21

Inf. Stats

# Residuals

22