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Preface

This book is intended as an introduction to the phenomena of Dutch syntax, as well as to the various ways in which these phenomena have been analyzed from a theoretical point of view. Consequently, it has two major parts, entitled ‘Description’ (part II) and ‘Theory’ (part III).

In preparing this work, I have learned that not all phenomena of Dutch syntax have been accorded equal attention in the theoretical literature. As a result, it appeared impractical to present the data and the analyses side by side, and I have opted instead to separate the two parts completely, and have allowed myself to be guided first and foremost by what seemed interesting from a descriptive viewpoint in part II, and from a historical-theoretical viewpoint in part III. As a result, the organization of the two parts is not completely parallel, and many interesting topics discussed in part II are not picked up in part III, simply for the reason that they have received insufficient theoretical attention.

It has been my intention, then, to create in part II something of a reference work (in English) of the syntax of Dutch, which could be used independently, and might be of service to students and researchers working on Dutch or Germanic more generally. At the same time, I have experienced that many phenomena of Dutch syntax are still not fully explored and are ill-understood, certainly by me, so that this work should not be viewed as comprehensive and conclusive, and I sincerely apologize for its many lacunae. I am sustained by the hope that it will make the phenomena of Dutch more widely available, and will help others in framing the questions to be asked when trying to understand Dutch, syntax, or both.

In writing part III, I have been guided by the question of how the phenomena of Dutch have helped shape syntactic theory over the years. The reader will find here a synopsis of the analyses of verb second, verb clustering, and word order in Dutch, going back as often as possible to pregenerative structuralist work, and continuing up to the current minimalist stage of generative grammar.

Introducing the book is a small part I presenting the Dutch language, its basic morphosyntax, and the main trends of linguistic analysis relevant to the discussion in part III. Five appendices list the Dutch pronouns, adpositions, auxiliaries, verbs taking infinitival complements, and verb paradigms.
Preface

I would have liked to conclude this work with a part IV discussing aspects of Dutch syntax that have played a major role in discussions confined to Dutch linguistics journals, and hence little known to researchers outside the Netherlands. For various reasons, this proved too ambitious at this point. As a result, some of these constructions have not received sufficient attention here, and it is hoped that this can be rectified in a future edition.

In many ways, preparing this volume started long before its inception, and I have many people to thank for helping me along the way. For fear of leaving anyone out, I refrain from listing them here, and refer to the reference section instead. For comments on parts of this manuscript, I thank Jack Hoeksema, Jan Koster, and Mark de Vries. I am especially grateful to Helen Barton, Sarah Green, Elizabeth Davey, and Kay McKechnie at Cambridge University Press, for their patience and support.

Sadly, as I was composing this preface, word reached us that one of the pioneers of Dutch syntactic theory, Hans den Besten, had passed away. This book is dedicated to his memory.
Abbreviations used in the glosses

1 = first person; superscript = low tone
2 = second person; superscript = mid-low tone
3 = third person; superscript = mid tone
4 superscript = mid-high tone
5 superscript = high tone
ACC = accusative case
ADV = adverbiaal particle
ADVS = adversative
AFF = affirmative particle
AN = animate
APPL = applicative prefix
AUX = auxiliary verb
C = complementizer
CAUS = causative auxiliary
CG = common gender
CMP = comparative degree
COLL = collective
COND = conditional force
CR = conjunction reduction zero element
DAT = dative case
DECL = declarative force
DEF = (i) definite determiner (ii) definite agreement
DEM = demonstrative pronoun
DIM = diminutive
DIST = distal
DSTR = distributive
E = [unglossed]
EMP = emphatic suffix
EN = [unglossed]
ER = [unglossed]
F = feminine gender
Abbreviations used in the glosses

GAP = zero element
GE...D/N = past participle morphology
GP = gapping zero element
HUM = human
INAN = inanimate
INDF = indefinite determiner
INF = infinitive
INT = interrogative force
INV = inversion
LNK = linker
LOC = (i) locative pronoun (ii) locative morpheme
M = masculine gender
MAT = material adjective suffix
MIN = minimizer
MOD = modal verb
N = neuter gender; see also GE...D/N
NEG = (i) negative particle (ii) negative prefix
NML = nominalizing suffix
NOM = nominative case
OBJ = objective case
OCL = object clitic
ORD = ordinal
PART = past participle
PASS = passive voice
PAST = (i) past tense (ii) past tense ablaut or suppletive morphology
PCL = possessive clitic
PGAP = parasitic gap
PL = plural number
POSS = (i) possessive pronoun (ii) possessive affix
PRO = infinitival subject zero element
PROX = proximate
Q = (i) interrogative pronoun (ii) interrogative morpheme
RECP = reciprocal pronoun
REFL = reflexive pronoun
REL = relative pronoun
SBJV = subjunctive
SCL = subject clitic
SG = singular number
Abbreviations used in the glosses

STR = strong
SUP = superlative degree
TEMP = temporal
UNIV = universal
WK = weak

A note on orthography

The examples follow the standard Dutch orthography, in which pairs of tense and lax vowels are represented by identical characters (a, e, i, o, u) without diacritics. In closed syllables, doubling of the vowel signals tenseness, whereas in open syllables laxness of the vowel is signaled by doubling of the following consonant. Unstressed e signals schwa. The combinations ie and dt signal [i] and [t], respectively. In the examples, hyphens indicate morpheme boundaries, splitting orthographically doubled consonants.
I

INTRODUCTION

This part contains three chapters preparatory to the more substantive parts II and III. These chapters introduce the Dutch language (chapter 1) and its basic morphosyntax (chapter 2), and present the major perspectives through which the language has been approached since the nineteenth century.
1

Dutch: the language, its history, its dialects

Dutch (ISO 639–3: nld) is a West Germanic Indo-European language spoken in the Netherlands and Belgium (Flanders), as well as in Suriname, on Aruba, and on the Netherlands Antilles, by a total of over 21 million speakers (Lewis 2009).

*Dutch* is an English-language exonym, a cognate of the archaic endonym *duits/diets* and also of German *deutsch* ‘German.’ The current endonym in the Netherlands is *Nederlands*; in Belgium we also find endonymic *Vlaams* ‘Flemish’ and exonymic (French) *flamand*. Next to *Dutch* and *Nederlands* (German *Niederländisch*), a third name one may encounter is *Hollands* (French *hollandais*) deriving from the name of the western part of the Netherlands. This name is also used by speakers of Dutch dialects when referring to the Dutch standard language (a testimony to the linguistic and cultural dominance of the western provinces of the Netherlands, Noordholland and Zuidholland).

The two languages most closely related to Dutch are Afrikaans (AFR, a seventeenth-century offspring of Dutch spoken in South Africa) and Low German (Low Saxon/Niederdeutsch, NDS), the German of North Germany, which has given way to High German (Standard German, DEU) as the German standard language. Somewhat further removed, but still quite similar to Dutch, are High German and Frisian (also known as Western Frisian, FRY). The two remaining West Germanic languages, Yiddish and English, are historically close to High German and Frisian, respectively, but have developed in such a way that their syntax is now quite different, and hence also quite different from the syntax of Dutch.

The Dutch language as it is known today emerged in the seventeenth century as the result of conscious efforts to promote a standard variety, derived from the Hollandic variant spoken in the urban centers in the west of what is now the Netherlands. These cities flourished partly because of a large and prestigious southern Dutch immigrant community, which must have affected the cultured speech considerably (see Van der Wal 1992). What also played a role was the prominence of printing in the southern parts (e.g. Antwerp), providing a written model for the developing official language. At the same time, the standard...
language emerging in the west was heavily influenced by the speech of lower-class immigrants from the east (Van der Sijs 2004:46 f, Howell 2006). The standard Dutch language, then, is not the direct descendant of a single dialect, but the result of language change through dialect contact in combination with language reform.

The dialects spoken in the Dutch-language area, which have so far proved remarkably resilient, can be divided into two large groups: the Low Saxon dialects spoken in the north-east, and the Low Franconian dialects spoken in the south, center, and west. In addition, there is the dialect of the south-eastern provinces of (Dutch and Belgian) Limburg (Limburgish, llim), which is a Rhine-Franconian variety somewhat closer to the High German dialects. The Low Franconian dialects include Brabantish, East Flemish, West Flemish, Zeeuws, Hollands-Utrechts, and the dialect of North-Noordholland and the North Sea Coast (an area originally inhabited by Frisian speaking people; the North-Noordholland dialect is confusingly called Westfries ‘West Frisian’). Low Saxon dialects (Gronings, Drents, Stellingwerfs, Sallands, Veluws, Twents, Achterhoeks) are also spoken across the border in Germany (Eastern Frisian, Eemsfläisch, Low German) and therefore cannot strictly speaking be called dialects of Dutch.

Information on many aspects of the syntax of these dialects has recently become available in Barbiers et al. (2005, 2009). An older, but thorough, survey is Weijnen (1966). Individual syntax-oriented dialect descriptions include Overdiep (1940), Vanacker (1948), and De Bont (1962) for Low Franconian dialects, and Sassen (1953) and Van der Haar (1967) for Low Saxon dialects. Haegeman (1992) is a monograph in syntactic theory based on the Low Franconian dialect of West Flemish.

Since Dutch is the result of a process of standardization starting in the seventeenth century (what we might call the Early Modern Dutch period), the denominations for the earlier periods (Old Dutch, until 1100, and Middle Dutch, 1100–1500) are misleading. The texts we have from these periods are written in earlier stages of various Low Franconian and Low Saxon dialects. Of ‘Old Dutch’ we have very little; most significant is a small collection of psalm translations, the Wachtendonkse Psalmen, written in what appears to have been an Old Eastern Low Franconian dialect. Of the earliest stage of the Low Saxon dialects (called Old Saxon or Old Low German) we have considerably more (see Holthausen 1921, which includes thirty pages on its syntax). Of ‘Middle Dutch’ we have a great many texts, mostly from Low Franconian Flemish and Brabantish, later also Hollandic, but also from Low Saxon North-East Netherlandic varieties and from the Limburg dialect. The syntax is treated in Stoett (1923), Weijnen (1971), Heersche (1991), Duinhoven (1988, 1997), and Van der Horst (2008). On the syntax of Early Modern Dutch, see Overdiep (1931–1935), Vanacker (1963), Koelmans
The history of twentieth-century Dutch syntax is described in Van der Horst and Van der Horst (1999).

This book presents an introduction to the syntax of the current spoken standard Dutch language, with only occasional reference to data from earlier stages or dialects.

Written Dutch in general presents a faithful reflection of the syntax of the spoken language, although it must be kept in mind that the standard orthography was designed as a compromise among various regionally tainted varieties of standard Dutch. For example, the plural and infinitive ending written as -\(\text{-en} /\text{-\(\text{\AA}n\)/}\) combines the ending -\(\alpha\) heard in Low Franconian speaking areas with the syllabic nasal ending -\(\eta\) characterizing Low Saxon speech.

Another factor affecting written Dutch has been the nineteenth-century tendency to embellish the grammar of Dutch by introducing in the orthography grammatical categories known from related Germanic languages, mostly High German, but absent from Dutch. This can still be seen in the artificial distinction made between the 3.pl. pronouns \(\text{hen}\) and \(\text{hun}\), intended to reflect an accusative–dative distinction which Dutch lacks. The desire to resurrect long-gone case distinctions affected the spelling system considerably, introducing a determiner paradigm of masculine \(\text{de/den}\) ‘the-nom/acc’ vs. feminine \(\text{de/de}\) ‘the-nom/acc,’ whereas the relevant case and gender distinctions were nonexistent outside the area of personal pronouns.

These and other discrepancies between the spoken and written language gave rise to a late nineteenth-century spelling reform movement with sympathizers directing their attention at the syntax of the spoken language. As a result, the study of Dutch syntax has been enriched early on by valuable treatments of colloquial Dutch, such as W. de Vries (1910–1911) and Overdiep (1937), a tradition carried on by Paardekooper (1986) and J. de Vries (2001).

Today, the two most remarkable features of written Dutch absent from the spoken variety are (to my mind): (a) the tendency to use feminine anaphoric pronouns to refer to inanimate entities (due to the illusion that Dutch distinguishes masculine and feminine nouns, combined with uncertainty about which noun has which gender), and (b) the tendency to avoid final finite auxiliaries in embedded clauses (yielding the order auxiliary–participle instead of participle–auxiliary, apparently not to betray any influence from German; see Haeseryn 1990: 40 and references cited there).
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2.1 General typological characteristics

Dutch is an SO language, with the subject preceding the object in the unmarked word order, and the verb occupying all three possible positions, depending on the clause type: SOV in embedded and nonfinite clauses, SVO in unmarked declarative clauses, and VSO in finite clauses with a constituent preceding the subject.

Independent clauses show a verb-second effect, where as a rule the finite verb is preceded by a single constituent. Where a clause has more than one verb, the additional verbs are chained together in the final verb position, creating SVOV in main clauses and SOVV in embedded clauses.

Embedded clauses follow the final verb position (‘extraposition’). Relative clauses follow the head noun and are introduced by a relative pronoun; they, too, may appear in extraposition. Nonfinite embedded clauses show transparency effects, sometimes to the extent that all material associated with the embedded clause, except the verb, is realized inside the matrix clause.

The area between the subject and the final verb position shows some freedom in the arrangement of objects and adjuncts, but nonspecific objects, secondary predicates and verbal particles need to be left adjacent to the final verb(s). The indirect object noun phrase precedes the direct object.

Dutch morphology is of the inflectional suffixing type; Dutch has very limited case-marking (only in the personal pronoun system), showing nominative–accusative alignment where it exists, and consistent (person/number) subject agreement on the finite verb. In the nominal domain, gender, number, and definiteness are marked on the determiner and attributive adjective, which precedes the noun, but only number is marked on the head noun itself.

Focus and contrastive topicalization are expressed in situ using pitch accent, but discourse topics are preferably fronted and occasionally dropped, yielding a verb-first word order. Interrogative phrases (‘wh-phrases’) must be fronted, though not more than one per clause. These frontings can also apply across finite clause boundaries.
Heads precede their complements, with the exception of the highly mobile verb, and specifiers precede the head–complement combination.

2.2 Word classes

The lexical classes V (verb), N (noun), A (adjective/adverb), and P (adposition) can all be clearly identified in Dutch, using distributional and formal tests.

The verb stands out as the only element occupying radically different positions in main and embedded clauses:

(2.1) a. Main clause
   Tasman _ontdek-te_ Nieuw Zeeland
   Tasman _discover-past:sg_ New Zealand

   b. Embedded clause
   …dat Tasman Nieuw Zeeland _ontdek-te_
   c Tasman New Zealand _discover-past:sg_
   ‘…that Tasman discovered New Zealand.’

This test applies to finite verbs only:

(2.2) a. Main clause
   Tasman _heet_ Nieuw Zeeland _ontdek-t_
   Tasman _have:3sg_ New Zealand _ge:discover-d_
   ‘Tasman discovered New Zealand.’

   b. Embedded clause
   …dat Tasman Nieuw Zeeland _ontdek-t_ _heet_
   c Tasman New Zealand _ge:discover-d_ _have:3sg_
   ‘…that Tasman discovered New Zealand.’

In (2.2a), only the finite auxiliary moves to the second position in the clause. This also shows that the pattern in (2.1) is not caused by mobility of the object: in (2.2a/b) the position of the object _Nieuw Zeeland_ ‘New Zealand’ with respect to the participle _ontdekt_ ‘discovered’ remains constant.

The verb is also the only element undergoing inversion with the subject after fronting of a nonsubject:

(2.3) In 1642 _ontdek-te_ Tasman Nieuw Zeeland
    in 1642 _discover-past:sg_ Tasman New Zealand
    ‘In 1642 Tasman discovered New Zealand.’

Formally, the verb is the only element adjusting its morphology to express the tense properties of the clause. Thus, in (2.3) the past tense form _ontdekte_
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‘discovered’ replaces the present tense form ontdek-t [discover-3sg] ‘discovers’ to express cotemporaneity with the reference time indicated by in 1642.

The major distributional characteristic of nouns is that they may function as subjects triggering person/number agreement on the verb, either directly, as with pronouns and proper names (2.4), or through combination with determiners, demonstrative pronouns, interrogative pronouns, possessive pronouns, numerals, quantifiers, and/or attributive adjectives (2.5).

(2.4) a. Tasman/hij ontdek-t-e Nieuw Zeeland
    Tasman/3SG.M.NOM discover-PAST-SG New Zealand
    ‘Tasman/he discovered New Zealand.’

        b. Tasman c.s./zij ontdek-t-en Nieuw Zeeland
           Tasman and associates/3PL.NOM discover-PAST-PL New Zealand
           ‘Tasman and associates/they discovered New Zealand.’

(2.5) De twee prachtig-e eiland-en werd-en ontdek-t
     ‘The two gorgeous islands were discovered.’

Formally, nouns stand out in that they express only number (sg/pl), not tense. Gender and definiteness are not overtly expressed on the noun, but in the shape of the determiner, pronouns, and adjectives preceding the noun. (Diminutive marking is not a formal characteristic of nouns, as the diminutive in Dutch functions as a nominalizer converting verbs, adjectives, and prepositions into nouns, e.g. moet-je ‘inevitability’ from the verbal root moet ‘must,’ wit-je ‘white one’ from the adjective wit ‘white,’ uit-je ‘excursion’ from the preposition uit ‘out.’)

Adjectives generally enter into a predicative/attributive alternation:

(2.6) a. Predicative
    Het schip is snel
    DEF:N.SG ship is fast
    ‘The ship is fast.’

        b. Attributive
           het snel-le schip
           DEF:N.SG fast-SG.DEF ship
           ‘the fast ship’

Formally, adjectives show comparative/superlative morphology:

(2.7) a. snel-ler
    fast-CMP
    ‘faster’
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b. snel-st
   fast-SUP
   ‘fastest’

Attributive (prenominal) adjectives in addition show gender/number/definiteness marking (see (2.6b)).

**Adverbs** are not formally marked, so that most adverbs are uninflected adjectives appearing in a position modifying the verb phrase or the clause:

(2.8) Het schip vaar-t snel
   DEF:N.SG ship sail-3SG fast
   ‘The ship is sailing fast.’

**Adpositions** in Dutch are generally prepositions, appearing in front of a noun phrase. They show no inflectional morphology, and trigger objective case on the personal pronoun in their complement. Remarkably, demonstrative pronouns, interrogative pronouns, and quantifiers in the complement of a preposition take on a locative form and shift to the position preceding the adposition:

(2.9) a. *uit dit > hier uit
      out DEM.N.PROX:SG DEM.LOC.PROX out
      ‘out of this [thing]’

b. *van wat > waar van
   of Q:INAN Q:LOC of
   ‘of what [thing]’

c. *met alles > overal mee
      with everything everywhere with
      ‘with everything’

(As (2.9c) shows, some adpositions have a special form when construed postpositionally.)

A subclass of the class of adpositions also functions as verbal particles, appearing left adjacent to the clause-final verb position. The verb and the particle are separated in main clauses, when the verb appears in the second position of the clause:

(2.10) a. …dat Tasman weer uit voer
       c Tasman again out sail:PAST:SG
       ‘…that Tasman sailed out again.’

b. Tasman voer weer uit
    Tasman sail:PAST:SG again out
    ‘Tasman sailed out again.’

See Appendix 2 for a list of adpositions and their properties.
The functional word classes C (complementizer) and D (determiner) are represented by lexical items in Dutch, but T (tense) is not.

The complementizers are all clause-initial, except in embedded wh-questions, where they are either absent, or, in colloquial Dutch, may show up in second position after the fronted wh-phrase. Finite declarative clauses are introduced by *dat* ‘that,’ finite interrogative clauses by *of* ‘whether,’ and finite conditional clauses by *als* ‘if.’ In colloquial Dutch, these complementizers may be combined, yielding formations like *alsdat* ‘that’ and *ofdat* ‘whether’; *alsof* is a standard-language combination meaning ‘as if.’ The combinatorial possibilities suggest the ordering template in (2.11) (cf. De Rooy 1965):

(2.11) Finite complementizers

als – of – dat

Nonfinite embedded clauses may be introduced by the complementizer *om*; this never happens when the infinitive is not marked by the infinitival marker *te* (i.e. in the complement of modal verbs, causative verbs, and perception verbs). When *te* is present, *om* appears optionally in complement infinitivals appearing as a whole to the right of the clause-final verb position (i.e. in ‘extraposition’). In other cases where *te* appears, *om* is absent (in adjunct infinitivals, *om* is one of several prepositions introducing the infinitival clause, but since it cannot be combined with any of the other prepositions, it does not function as a complementizer here). These generalizations are illustrated below:

(2.12)

a. Infinitival complement of a modal verb

… dat Tasman het Zuidland wil (*om) (*te) vind-en

  c Tasman def:n.sg South Land want:sg find-INF

  ‘… that Tasman wants to find the South Land.’

b. Infinitival complement of a causative verb

… dat Tasman op de trompet laat (*om) (*te) blaz-en

  c Tasman on def:sg trumpet let:sg blow-INF

  ‘… that Tasman lets the trumpet sound.’

c. Infinitival complement of a perception verb

… dat Tasman de Maori-s zie-t (*om) (*te) nader-en

  c Tasman def:pl Maori-pl see-3sg approach-INF

  ‘… that Tasman sees the Maoris approaching.’

d. Infinitival complement to a control verb, with extraposition

… dat Tasman probeer-t (om) de Maori-s *(te) ontvlucht-en

  c Tasman try-3sg def:pl Maori-pl flee_from-INF

  ‘… that Tasman tries to get away from the Maoris.’
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morphology 9
negative see negation
position 78
of respect 92
retrospective/prospective 59
scope 37, 253
speech act 58, 66
time 91
volitional 59
see also prosody, word order
adversative see discourse particle
affirmative see adverb
Afrikaans 3
agentivity 229
agreement
adjectival 9, 15, 83, 87, 88, 89, 118, 327, 335
via c-command 323
complementizer 14, 253, 270, 283, 284,
288, 290, 293, 294
under ellipsis 130, 131, 143, 335
in CP 263, 270
double 293
in expletive constructions 18
first conjunct 294
gender 8, 15, 143, 333

390
Index

with gerunds 87
in INFL 291
in inversion 13, 41
material 333
in nominalizations 93
number 143
on numerals 86
phrasal 92
projection see Agreement phrase
on quantifiers 185, 186
in relative clauses 113
subject 6, 8, 11, 17, 367
verbal 13, 293
see also associate, schwa
Agreement phrase (AgrP) 227, 322
object (AgrOP) 227, 228, 266, 275, 314
subject (AgrSP) 227
AgrOP see Agreement phrase
AgrSP see Agreement phrase
alignment 6
analogy 294, 300, 317
anaphor see pronoun, reflexive
antecedent-contained deletion 127
anticipatory pronoun see pronoun
apokoinou 41, 198
applicative 38, 102, 230, 240
apposition 122
argument 223
position 223
aspect 15, 239, 317
completive 12
durative 364
imperfective 106
associate 18, 176
agreement 13
and negation 16
position 58
see also definiteness
association with only 55, 56, 278
Aux-filter 305
auxiliary 42, 104
aspects 42, 364
modal 14, 42, 299, 363
passive 14, 42, 363
in rewrite rules (‘Aux’) 245, 252
selection 13, 229, 231, 238, 239
temporal 12, 236, 299, 363, 367
see also verb

backgrounding 77, 210, 274
scope 79
see also prosody, word order

balancesschikking 122, 124
bepaling 25
van specificatie 325
bijwoord 25
binding 145, 226, 344
c-command 149, 345
definition 344
Dutch vs. English 345
locality 148, 346
among objects 261
picture noun phrases 350
precedence 149
into prepositional phrases 151
theory 344
see also zich vs. zichzelf
bracket 251
left 33, 34, 35, 38, 41
in the noun phrase 80
right 33, 34, 41
and topological fields 26, 47
see also COMP, complementizer
branching
binary 233
right 22
ternary 234
Burzio Generalization 228
case 5, 6, 15, 164, 167, 228
accusative see objective
and binding 348
and scrambling 260
assignment 261
dative 20
determined by preposition 9
filter 236, 335
nominative 17
of object 260
objective 20
on reflexives 145
showing cross-serial dependency 313
verbal 305
weak vs. strong 262
see also Exceptional Case Marking
cataphor 152
Categorial Grammar 326
c-command 17, 251, 293
among objects 20, 261
definition 36, 344
see also binding
Chain Condition 348
Chomsky hierarchy 312
circumposition 97, 102, 340
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clause 104
  adjunct 73, 74, 108, 110, 112, 123
  complement 75, 109
  finite 107
  linking see conjunction, disjunction
  mixed main/embedded properties 106, 107, 122
  nonfinite 110
  position 72, 243
  result 274
  see also fronting
clause structure 33
  layered 37
clause union 46, 296, 303, 317
  see also middle field, negation
clitic 269
  vs. full noun phrase 67
  subject 35, 123, 286
  vs. weak pronoun 269
  see also pronoun, weak
collocation 63, 93, 179
Colloquial/spoken Dutch features
  apokoinou 41, 198
  complementizer 10, 34, 108
  double complementizers 10, 270
  double negation 16
  embedded verb second 107, 123, 286
  expletive 18
  locativization 99
  possessive 15
  pronoun 20
  pronunciation 13, 87
  quantifier 186
  quasi-relative (clausal conjunction) 122
  reflexive 150
  topicalization 70
  verb clusters 44, 300
colon phrase 273
COMP 263
  doubly filled 285
  hosting INFL 253
  and sentence bracket analysis 35
comparative
  correlative 127
  subdeletion (subcomparative) 330
complementizer 10, 106
  combined 10
  conditional 10, 121
  declarative 10, 107
  distribution 35
  expressing tense 283, 284, 285
  interrogative 107, 121, 201
  multiple 270
  nonfinite 10, 157
  position 243
  in relative clauses 201
  and sentence bracket analysis 34, 36
  in the third construction 311, 316
Complementizer Phrase (CP) 252, 279, 316
  interrogative 270, 280
  layered structure 270, 280
  status of specifier 263
  see also head-initial vs. head-final structure
complementizer-trace phenomena 213
complex predicate 241, 251, 268
  in binding analysis 349
  see also fronting
compound 96, 268
  concessive 127
  particle 127
  conditional 283
  conjunction 11, 118
  clausal 120
  negative 118, 120
  conjunction reduction 129, 132
  backward 129, 132, 134, 138
  forward 129, 132, 133, 135
  and the subject position 264
  see also constituency, gapping
constituency
  in adjective phrases 329
  in conjunction reduction 133, 134
  in coordination 117
  in ditransitive constructions 21
  in gapping 137
  in noun phrases 21
  and small clauses 241
  tests 21
constituent order see word order
context-free 312
contrastiveness 262
control 152, 153, 169, 350
  adjunct clause 170
  obligatory/nonobligatory 353
  vs. raising 153
  shift 171, 354
  split antecedent 171
  subject identification 17
coordination 117
  of adjectives 118, 119, 333
  asymmetric 121, 265
  of clauses 119
  collective vs. distributive reading 118
  implicational 124
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>393</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>of noun phrases 117</td>
<td>double complementizers 270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polysyndetic (doubled) 40, 41, 118, 136, 327</td>
<td>double negation 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of prepositions 118</td>
<td>ellipsis 336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specifying 122, 125, 274</td>
<td>emphatic schwa 6, 336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of verb clusters 303</td>
<td>IPP effect 6, 298, 310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of verbs 40, 41, 118</td>
<td>inversion 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of wh-phrases 199</td>
<td>modal verb 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also constituency, prosody</td>
<td>pronoun ordering 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>copula see verb</td>
<td>quasi relative (clausal conjunction) 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>copular construction 19</td>
<td>reflexive 146, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with modal verb 25</td>
<td>relative clauses 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with raising verb 25</td>
<td>sluicing 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross-over 191</td>
<td>time adverbial 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross-serial dependency 297, 299, 312</td>
<td>verb cluster interruption (‘Verb Projection Raising’) 48, 49, 300, 308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deep structure 244, 246, 261, 345</td>
<td>verb clusters 6, 43, 44, 300, 318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definiteness 6, 8, 15</td>
<td>dialect syntax 388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in expletive constructions 58</td>
<td>diminutive 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in relative clauses 273</td>
<td>discourse 28 see also topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also adjectival agreement, determiner, ellipting, fronting, negation, object, opacity, specificity, subject</td>
<td>discourse particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defocusing 227, 278</td>
<td>echter/nu (adversative/connecting) 69, 70, 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree 8, 15</td>
<td>even/maar (attenuating) 52, 53, 57, 61, 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>particle 16, 91, 93, 110</td>
<td>see also prosody, word order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also resultative</td>
<td>disjunction 11, 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree Phrase (DegP) 213, 328</td>
<td>clausal 120, 121, 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deixis 82, 359; see also fronting, word order</td>
<td>exclusive 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depictive 276</td>
<td>ditransitive see double object construction, prepositional dative construction; see also constituency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determiner 10, 11, 80</td>
<td>double object construction 20, 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-additive 182</td>
<td>passive 20, 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definitive vs. indefinite 11</td>
<td>vs. prepositional dative construction 262 see also prosody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fused with quantifier 81</td>
<td>Dutch 3, passim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gender 15</td>
<td>‘A’ vs. ‘B’ 214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as head of the noun phrase in Dutch 326</td>
<td>colloquial 5–44; see also Colloquial/spoken Dutch features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>morphology 6</td>
<td>dialects 4, 5; see also Dialect features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative (geen) 16, 55, 82, 175, 188</td>
<td>head-final analysis 251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in nominalizations 93, 327</td>
<td>head-initial analysis 266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>position 11, 27, 80</td>
<td>history 3, 4, 50, 120, 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in relative constructions 272</td>
<td>morphology 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spurious indefinite 83, 332</td>
<td>name 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>universal 187</td>
<td>orthography 5, 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak 188</td>
<td>spoken 28, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determiner phrase (DP) 326; see also head-initial vs. head-final structure, noun phrase</td>
<td>written 5, 15, 20, 44, 120, 358 see also Middle Dutch, OV/VO status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diachronic syntax 4</td>
<td>dynasty 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialect features 28</td>
<td>economy 292; see also rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complementizer 108, 115</td>
<td>eigen 149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ellipsis 127
  in disjunction 124
  indefinite noun phrase 130, 131, 335
  noun 130, 141, 329, 335, 343
  possessive 131
  see also er, gapping, negation, numeral, quantifier, schwa
Empty Category Principle (ECP) 288, 292
endocentricity 27
  er
  in ellipsis 130, 329
  expletive: see expletive, locative
  with preposition stranding 71, 254
Exceptional Case Marking (ECM) 166, 233, 240, 260
exclamative 82, 107, 284
  wat 330, 331
excorporation
  particle 305
expletive construction
  existential construction see expletive construction
  expletive 69
  distribution 19, 154
  het 19, 156, 162, 164, 254
  locative (er) 18, 67, 129, 239
  raising 19, 154, 161
expletive construction
  passive 18
  presentational 126
  subject in 18
  transitive 18
extended projection 252
Extension Condition 295
extraposition 6, 72, 76, 255, 271, 305, 336
  adverbs 52
  base-generation analysis 256, 258, 266, 314
  as a coherence field 301
  complementizer 10, 73, 170
  coordination analysis 274
  of middle field elements 52
  and negation 179
  nonfinite clause 110, 156, 159, 169, 365, 366
  opacity see opacity/transparency
  preposition phrase 63, 64, 77, 236, 240, 250, 259
  relative clause 77, 114, 257, 271
  rightward movement analysis 256, 273
  roll-up movement analysis 260, 273
  stranding analysis 271
  as a stylistic rule 259
  and the third construction 298, 311, 316
  and topological fields analysis 26
  violating locality conditions 259
  see also Neg-raising, prosody, word order
factive 123, 144
feature checking 292
field
  see final field, initial field, middle field; see also topological fields
final field 33, 72
  hosting multiple constituents 75
  in Paardekooper’s analysis 47
finiteness 106, 285
  defining the proposition 25
  in gapping 137
  interrogatives 111
focus 6, 117, 142, 173
  contrastive 56, 60, 191, 195
  definition 191
  and gapping 137, 195
  narrow vs. wide 55, 192
  phrase 335, 336
  scrambling 68, 70, 196, 260
  verum 192
  see also defocusing, negation, prosody, word order
focus particle
  and anaphor 146, 349
  floating 197
  in the noun phrase 11, 85
  position 63, 65, 66
  raising 197
fragment answer 143
Frisian 3, 44, 123, 146, 238
  complementizer agreement 253, 289
  vs. Dutch 309
  embedded verb second 285, 286, 287, 289
  I-to-C movement 270, 289
  sluicing 144
fronting 6, 263, 278
  in adjective phrases 91
  of complex predicates 118
  definiteness 197
  of deixis markers 23
  in embedded clauses 35
  of finite clauses 109, 110
  of infinitives 111
  interrogatives 198, 199, 201
  of middle field elements 51, 67, 72
  of negative polar expressions 184
  nonlocal 6, 202
  not of weak elements 149
Index

see also noun phrase, preposition phrase, prosody, verbal particle

position 7
of a relative clause 77, 114, 271
structuralist notion 27, 282

see also movement, noun phrase, preposition phrase, specifier–head relation

Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar (HPSG) 290

head-initial vs. head-final structure 27, 265, 266, 276
CP 252, 266
DP 267
IP 252, 267, 268, 286, 288, 290
PP 340

in structuralism 244
in verb clusters 313, 318, 320, 321
VP 251, 256, 266

see also prosody

herhalingconstructie see apokoinou
Höhle-facts 263
Hollands see Dutch, name
hulpwerkwoord 25

idiom 153, 160, 277
immediate constituent analysis 252

 imperative in coordination 122
incorporation 38, 49, 232

infinitive 42
adjunct 10
infinitival complement 10, 84, 152
marker (te) 10
with te 45

see also fronting, tense

Infinitivus pro participio see IPP effect

INFL 252

head of the clause 252
hosting clitics 269
hosting inflected verb 252

position 268
in rewrite rules 252
relation with C(OMP) 270, 288, 292
status in Dutch 253
weak vs. strong 253

see also COMP, head-initial vs. head-final structure

inflection 6
adjectival 9, 335
adverbial 9
realized post-syntactically 293
syntactic representation 292
verbal 11, 367

see also agreement, INFL

Index

Inflection Phrase (IP) 252
  absence in Dutch 291
  see also head-initial vs. head-final structure
initial field 33, 68
  in embedded clauses 35
interrogative
  clause 10, 107, 208, 285
  phrase 6, 14, 70, 280, 294
  see also complementizer, Complementizer
Phrase, finiteness, fronting, negation, pronoun, question
  intraposition 318
inversion
  of clauses 123
  with expletive 254
locative 239
  subject–clitic 303
  subject–verb 7, 35, 70, 105, 120, 126, 197, 282, 284
  in verb clusters 306, 308
  see also agreement
IPP effect 6, 298, 309, 310
Frisonian 310
German 309, 310
origin 300, 317
  and word order 309
koppe werkwoord 168
language acquisition 243
language contact 4, 43
Left Branch Condition 211, 343
left dislocation 152
lexical integrity 251
lidwoord 25
Linear Correspondence Axiom 266, 317
linear order 262, 266
linker 15
little v (v) 228, 229
  different from AgrO 275
locativization 100, 101, 235; see also pronoun turning locative
logical analysis 25
  lowering 292
measure phrase 62, 328
Merge 295
middle construction 231, 233
  nonargument 232
Middle Dutch 4, 16, 242, 243
  middle field 33, 50
borders 51, 66
  and clause union 46
demarcation problem 50
proper 51, 62, 66
  structure 261
  see also fronting, extraposition
minimizer 17, 182
minor movement rule 247, 248
mirror effect 259, 274
modal particle 165
modality 83
  affecting controller choice 171
  deontic 158, 164, 354
  epistemic 158, 164, 354
  see also auxiliary, verb
mood 14, 283
morphology after syntax 293
Move α 291
movement 202, 223
  feature-triggered 266, 279
  head 321
  head vs. phrase 317
  partial 201
  remnant 292, 308
  rightward 255, 266, 267, 318, 340
  V-to-Infl 253
  vacuous 253
  see also extraposition, fronting, noun phrase, verb movement, wh-movement
mutativity 229, 230
naamwoord 25
Nederlands see Dutch, name
  negation 16, 172
  in the adjective phrase 92
  adverb 16
  in complex clauses 178, 304
  constituent 173, 187
  in ellipsis 141
  focal 172
  and focus 173
  with indefinites 16, 175, 304
  interrogative 61, 173, 176
  polar 172
  position 52, 61
  signaling clause union 46
  tag 173
  types 172
  see also associate, pronoun, verb cluster
negative polarity expression 17, 172, 179
  fused 179
  illustrating scope 36
isolated 182
see also fronting
Neg-raising 178
with composite negative markers 178
and extraposition 179
nexus 234, 300
nominalization 93
affix 93
mixed nominal/verbal 93, 95
supporting DP-hypothesis 327
of verb cluster 303
see also adjective, determiner, parasitic
gap
noun 8, 15
morphology 6, 8
nonspecific indefinite bare 249
see also ellipsis
noun–noun construction 86
noun phrase (NP, DP) 15
argument position vs. grammatical function
position 223
constraint: see Noun Phrase Constraint
definite 81
DP-analysis 325
‘final field’ 83, 84
fronting 70
head 326
indefinite 82
‘initial field’ 326
internal movement 326, 332
island see opacity/transparency
modifiers 324
possessor 15, 80, 211
predicative 176
structuralist analysis 324
structure 80, 324
see also constituency, coordination
Noun Phrase Constraint 329
Nuclear Stress Rule 277
number 6, 13, 15, 113
morphology 15
on reflexives 145
numeral 90, 337
cardinal 86
in ellipsis 130
ordinal 86

object 53, 223
definite 16, 21, 60
definition 19
direct 20
given/new 57, 195

indefinite 20, 59, 262
indirect 20
position 20, 57, 62, 66, 229
shift 226, 246, 260, 266, 267, 274
see also specificity, word order
obviating see pronoun
onderwerp 25, 229
opacity/transparency 164, 296
adjective phrase 212, 337, 338
adjunct clause 206
clause 255, 256, 258, 303, 316
coordinate structure 119, 202
definiteness 204, 206
degree phrase 212
extraposition 203, 209, 259
finiteness 345
left branch 211
noun phrase 204, 205, 211, 326, 329, 330
preposition phrase 207, 341
subject 202, 345
weak islands 208
see also gapping
operator position see A'-bar position
optative 283
Optimality Theory (OT) 290, 295
OV/VO status of Dutch 243, 246
eyearly generative thinking 245
Koster’s arguments 248, 250
structuralist thinking 244
typological arguments 243, 267
see also word order typology
parallel construal 273
parametric variation 214
directionality 266
of functional heads 255, 291
parasitic gap 112, 214, 339
antecedent 217
induced by object shift 215, 261
induced by passive 216
in finite clause 216
in nominal infinitives 216
participle, past 12, 42, 95
adjectival vs. verbal 236
mobility 44, 47, 301
with raising verbs 155
participle, perfect: see participle, past
participle, present 95
particle, discourse see participle, past
particle, verbal see verbal particle
partitive 332
pseudo- 332
Index
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passive 223, 254

ditransitive 228

impersonal 18, 214, 224, 230, 352

morphology 14

subject identification 17

see also expletive construction,
double object construction

person 15

persoonsvorm 25

pied-piping 100, 114, 199, 211

possession 84

postposition 97, 339, 340

vs. verbal particles 98

Predicate Phrase (PredP) 267

predicate raising 267

preposition 9

borrowed 95

complex 97, 361

compound 339

core 95

derived 95, 101, 102, 362

functional 340

locative/directional alternation 98, 101

stranded 47, 48, 50, 218, 254, 267

stranding 100

preposition phrase (PP) 338

adjacency 99, 100, 340

adjunct 63, 233

adverbial 64

complement 63, 66, 100

distribution 249

fronting 330

head 338

nonpredicative (= adjunct/complement)

63, 72

locational 238

predicative 63, 66, 236

premodification 101

recipient 53

sluicing 143

structure 95, 100, 338, 340

see also binding, extraposition, head-initial

vs. head-final structure, opacity/

transparency, prosody

prepositional dative construction 20;

see also double object construction

PRO 350

as an anaphor 353

governed 352

as a pronoun 353

theorem 351, 353

probe-goal mechanism 293

prodrop 253

prolepsis 166

pronominalization 337

pronoun 357

anticipatory (het) 71, 76, 110, 209, 258

bound variable 17, 151, 226, 348

demonstrative 11, 23, 40, 69, 70, 80, 127, 131,

152, 197, 358

emphatic 357

empty 327

honorific 357

interrogative 11, 198, 359

locative 341

negative 177

obviative 152, 350, 358

personal 6, 9, 15, 150, 357

possessive 11, 15, 80, 149, 350

preposing 287

reciprocal 150, 152, 358

reflexive 67, 145, 146, 147, 151, 346, 349,

357, 358

relative 13, 34, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 283,

318, 359

resumptive 69, 70, 109, 113, 197, 198, 219, 265

turning locative 9, 99, 114, 144, 200, 339

weak 26, 40, 41, 50, 60, 66, 68, 71, 77, 215,

263, 269, 282, 303

weak reflexive 350

see also topicalization

propositional complement reduction 141

prosody 277

adjective 334

backgrounding 77

contrastive 22, 23

in coordination 118

and discourse status 23, 54

double object construction 261

with extraposition 23, 193

flat hat (bridge) pattern 196

focus 23, 55, 57, 191, 192, 193

focus scrambling 68

fronting 70

and headedness 277

manner adverbs 78

negation 173

parenthesis 76

preposition phrase 64

question 22

relative clause 115, 194

and scope 190

scrambling 260, 261

secondary predicate 195
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sentence 22</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verb 23, 195, 196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verb cluster 321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verbal particle 38, 54, 195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and word order 321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proto-Germanic 243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proto-Indoeuropean 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proximate vs. distal 152</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pruning 303, 304</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudogapping 127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantification 185</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantifier 185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distributive 82, 185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exhaustive 185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existential 187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>floating 63, 132, 186, 188, 225, 303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indefinite 337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in ellipsis 130, 132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>locativization 99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negated 173, 187, 188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>noun phrase internal 81</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>partitive 188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>position 11, 65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>universal 185</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>question 22, 100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wh 10, 22, 107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes/no 22, 61, 107, 173</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also prosody</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raising 152, 153, 164, 166</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs. control 153</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with experiencer 164, 165</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyper- 166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>locality 166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to object 166, 226</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subject identification 17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>super- 166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with unaccusatives 225</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also expletive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reanalysis 306, 308</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reeks vorming 118</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reflexivity 145</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inherent 146, 347</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>register 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational Grammar 224</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative clause 6, 77, 83, 122, 325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appositional vs. restrictive 112, 115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constituency 272</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coordination analysis 274</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>free 117, 128</td>
<td>117, 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and negative polarity items 180, 183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonfinite 115</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opacity 258</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quasi 125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raising analysis 272</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stranding analysis 272</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upward boundedness 274</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also complementizer, definiteness,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determiner, extraposition, prosody</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restructuring see clause union</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resultative 242</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree 123</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rewrite rules 245, 252, 312</td>
<td>252, 312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-position 342</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-pronominalization see locativization rule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economy 291</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ordering 245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>samentrekking 129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school grammar 25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schwa (-e)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in agreement 15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in ellipsis 335</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emphatic 336</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scope 65, 188</td>
<td>65, 188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and linear order 36, 37, 188, 325</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverse 188</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of negative conjunction 118</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reconstruction 190</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also adverb, backgrounding,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative polarity, prosody, topological fields, verb clusters, Verb Projection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raising</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scrambling 260, 267</td>
<td>260, 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>base generation analysis 261, 262</td>
<td>261, 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>out of extrapoosed clause 311</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>movement analysis 261, 262</td>
<td>261, 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also prosody</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary predicate 47, 100, 249, 267, 314</td>
<td>47, 100, 249, 267, 314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interrupting verb cluster 48, 49</td>
<td>48, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and topological fields analysis 50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sentence 104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complex 104</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sentence brackets see bracket</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sluicing 142; see also prepositional phrase</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small-clause analysis 233, 267</td>
<td>233, 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>application 235, 238, 240, 333</td>
<td>235, 238, 240, 333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arguments against 234, 241</td>
<td>234, 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>history 233, 234</td>
<td>233, 234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also constituency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Index

- **specificity** 125, 262
  - blocking conflation 177
  - vs. definiteness 57
  - in nominalizations 94
- **specific indefinite** 57, 58, 175, 260
  - see also definite/indefinite object, noun, word order
- **specifier** 7; see also specifier–head relation
- **spelling** see Dutch orthography
- **spell-out**
  - no shredding condition 320, 321
  - selective 318, 320
  - standard (comparison) 91
- **stress** see prosody
- **subject**
  - definition 17
  - distribution 19
  - empty 254
  - indefinite 176, 189
  - position 279, 291
  - sentential 123, 265
  - tests 17
  - and topological fields analysis 35, 68
  - see also agreement, inversion
- **subjunctive** 108, 284
- **subordination** 104
- **suppletion** 237
- **surface structure** 261
- **telicity** 239
- **tense** 7, 10
  - of infinitives 13, 305, 316
  - in INFL 291
  - morphology 12
  - past 12
  - perfect 12
  - present 12
  - relative past 12
  - tense phrase (TP) 227, 252, 349
  - *that-trace* see complementizer-trace phenomena
- **third construction** 43, 111, 169, 298, 311, 315, 365; see also complementizer
- **time adverbial** 62, 85, 94, 184
- **topic** 197
  - contrastive 197
- **tense phrase (TP)**
  - 227, 252, 349
  - *that-trace* see complementizer-trace phenomena
- **third construction** 43, 111, 169, 298, 311, 315, 365; see also complementizer
- **time adverbial** 62, 85, 94, 184
- **topic** 197
  - contrastive 197
- **definition** 197
- **discourse** 6, 77, 152
- **drop** 198
- **frame-setting** 70
- **hanging** 198
- **position** 197
  - see also prosody, topicalization, word order
- **topicalization** 6, 71, 197, 201
  - embedded 198
  - remnant 308
  - of weak pronouns 288
  - see also verb phrase
- **topological fields** 26, 33, 249, 295
  - Bech's system 301
  - Danish tradition 26
  - Drach's system 26
  - in the noun phrase 80
  - Paardekooper's system 26
  - and scope 37
  - see also adjacency, extraposition, final field, initial field, middle field, sentence brackets, subject
- **transformation**
  - root 247, 248, 250
  - string-vacuous 304
  - structure-preserving 247, 251, 256, 304
  - substitution 284
  - typology 247
- **unaccusativity** 229
  - diagnostic 13, 224, 229, 230
  - hypothesis 229, 231
  - Uniformity of Theta-role Assignment Hypothesis (UTAH) 233
  - upward boundedness 274
- **van, performative** 141
- **verb** 7
  - aspectual 47
  - bridge 123
  - causative 10, 42, 46, 167
  - of cognition 167
  - compound 249
  - control 10, 42, 155, 160
  - copular 168, 237
  - ditransitive 228
  - ergative 231
  - factive 109, 123, 209, 255
  - field 301, 302
  - idiom 63
  - intransitive 13, 229
Index

modal 10, 42, 43, 128, 158, 163, 168, 183, 276, 299, 318, 353, 363
morphology 11, 13, 292, 293
negative 123, 180
negative polar 181, 183
perception 10, 42, 46, 167, 233, 245, 348
position 26, 27, 33, 42, 51, 104, 119, 243, 249, 251, 279
possession 236
posture 239
propositional 141
raising [verb] 11, 42, 47, 155, 160, 164, 168, 228
transitive 223, 228
unaccusative 13, 196, 223, 224, 228, 238
unergative 13, 196, 223, 230
weather 19
see also auxiliary, coordination, infinitive, inversion, prosody
verb cluster 42, 110, 169, 255, 270, 296
ascending vs. descending 44, 296
constituency 302
and cross-serial dependency 312
definition 296
derived by phrasal movement 318, 320
derived by verb lowering 258
fronting 72
German 301, 306
infinitival vs. participial 44, 299, 300, 318, 321
interruption 48, 49, 50
minimalist analysis 313
and negation 178
no clustering analysis 304, 313
position 51
red vs. green order 44
remnant movement analysis 321
scope 299
structuralist analysis 299
temporal vs. modal see infinitival vs. participial three verb 44, 318
see also coordination, excorporation, gapping, inversion, nominalization, prosody, secondary predicate, verbal particle, word order
verb first 6, 295
verb movement 27, 244, 247, 248, 279
as adjunction 295
flexible 279
in structuralism 244
incompatible with complementizer agreement 289
invariably upwards 251
as a linearization process 279
and tense 285
see also Verb Placement, verb first, verb second
verb phrase (VP) 225, 245
anaphora 127
deletion 127
double headed 260
structure 307
topicalization 308
see also VP-internal subject hypothesis
Verb Placement (transformation) 248, 249, 251, 284, 285, 290
Den Besten 284
Koster 250, 251, 263, 284
Verb Projection Raising 49, 298, 307, 308
minimalist analysis 314
partial 307
scattered 307
and scope 314
see also Dialect features, verb cluster interruption
Verb Raising (transformation) 111, 247, 256, 270, 297, 302, 365
and negation 304
as PF-inversion 306
as remnant verb projection raising 309
as right adjunction 306
optional 306
terminology 296
trigger 305
verb second 27, 38, 246, 281, 310
and idioms 39
as (PF) linearization 289, 295
as movement to C(OMP) 282, 284, 286
as verb first 295
in coordinated clauses 120
defining the initial field 40
embedded 107, 123, 124, 285, 289
failure 39
history 243
illustrated 281
Optimality Theory analysis 295
pregenerative analysis 282
remnant movement analysis 290
root transformation vs. substitution 290
separable verbs 38
Travis analysis 263, 267, 286, 288, 291
vs. VP-fronting 72
and Wackernagel 295
yielding constituency test 21
Index
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Verbalfeld see verb field
verb particle 9, 267, 314, 361
distribution 249
fronting 72
interrupting verb cluster 48, 49, 298
prepositional origin 97
separable/inseparable 38
signaling base position of verb 233, 251
and topological fields analysis 47, 50
see also excorporation, postposition, prosody
voegwoord 25
voice: see middle, passive
voorwerp 25
voorzetsel 25
VP-internal subject hypothesis 225

wat voor-split 211, 254, 330, 332
weather-it 154, 160
werkwoord 25
wh see interrogative, question
wh-island 208
wh-movement 198, 344
conditions on 202
see also fronting
wh-phrase see interrogative phrase
word classes 7
word order
adjectives 89, 333
adverbs 37, 59
analysis 251, 266, 306, 317, 318

auxiliary and verb 243, 244
backgrounding vs. extraposition 77, 78
basic 244, 246
complementizers 10, 270
of deixis markers 198
discourse sensitive 54
double object construction 53, 55
focus/topic 196
free 6, 84
given/new 57, 60, 62
middle field 6, 62, 66, 260, 262, 297
in the noun phrase 80, 86, 324
objects 6, 55, 67, 261
objects and adjuncts 20, 58, 275
preposition phrases 65, 76, 250
prepositional dative construction 53, 55
pronouns 20, 40, 303
relative clause 113, 116
specific/nonspecific 57
typology 6, 27, 310
verb cluster 5, 40, 43, 237, 300, 305, 311, 318
see also IPP effect, prosody, verb second

X-bar theory 252, 338, 339

Yiddish 3, 250, 287, 288
vs. Dutch 288
island effect 287
position of INFL 287

zich vs. zichzelf 147, 346, 348, 349