

10-6-2011

Methodology and Statistics for Linguistic Research (LTR002M10, 2010/2011)

Cochran's Q test

Jet Vonk



10-6-2011

#### Content

- > Types of data
- > Cochran's Q test
  - Who?
  - What?
  - When?
  - How?
  - Application?
- > Cochran's Q test: Example
- > Limitations of the Cochran's Q test
- > Discussion
- > References



10-6-2011

## Types of data





10-6-2011

## Types of data



 Nominal data: no specific order, no numerical meaning, differentiated by a naming system

#### **Examples**:

- Men/women
- Set of countries



10-6-2011

## Types of data





## Types of data



Ordinal data: items have a specific order on the scale

#### Examples:

- Positions within a company
- Winner, second and third in a race

10-6-2011



10-6-2011

## Types of data













10-6-2011









10-6-2011





10-6-2011

# Types of data

Non-parametric





10-6-2011

## Types of data





#### **Types of data** ▶dependent → 2 samples independent nominal dependent k samples →independent



#### Cochran's Q test





### Cochran's Q test: who?

#### William Gemmell Cochran (1909 – 1980)

Cochran, W.G. (1950). The Comparison of Percentages in Matched Samples. *Biometrika, 37*, 256-66.



10-6-2011



10-6-2011

## Cochran's Q test: what?

McNemar (1947) considered the problem of testing the significance of the difference between two correlated sample proportions



 Cochran suggested a generalization of the problem in which there are k(>2) matched samples





## Cochran's Q test: what?

- > To statistically analyze success rate data
- Tests the hypothesis that several related dichotomous variables have the same mean
- The variables are measured on the same individual or on matched individuals



10-6-2011

## Cochran's Q test: when?

- > Nominal data
- > More than 2 samples
- > Dependent
- > Binary response: <u>succes</u> (1) versus <u>failure</u> (0)



10-6-2011

## Cochran's Q test: how?

Each of *k* treatments is independently applied to *b* blocks (or subjects) and each outcome is measured as
 a success (1) or as a failure (0)

	Treatment 1	Treatment 2		Treatment k
Block 1	<i>X</i> <sub>11</sub>	X <sub>12</sub>		X <sub>1k</sub>
Block 2	X <sub>21</sub>	X <sub>22</sub>	• • •	X <sub>2k</sub>
Block 3	X <sub>31</sub>	X <sub>32</sub>	• • •	X <sub>3k</sub>
:			•.	
Block b	X <sub>b1</sub>	X <sub>b2</sub>		X <sub>bk</sub>



10-6-2011

## Cochran's Q test: how?

- > Hypotheses:
  - Ho: treatments are similarly effective
  - H1: treatments differ in effectiveness



10-6-2011

#### Cochran's Q test: how?

Test statistic: 
$$T = k (k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left( X_{\bullet j} - \frac{N}{k} \right)^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{b} X_{i \bullet} (k - X_{i \bullet})$$

- *k* is the number of treatments
- $X_{i}$  is the column total for the *j*<sup>th</sup> treatment
- *b* is the number of blocks
- $X_{i}$  is the row total for the *i*<sup>th</sup> block
- *N* is the grand total
- > For significance level  $\alpha$ , the critical region is  $T > X_{1-\alpha,k-1}^2$ where  $X_{1-\alpha,k-1}^2$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom



10-6-2011

#### Cochran's Q test: how?

> OR...

	Test Variables:	
<ul> <li>VAR00001</li> <li>VAR00003</li> <li>VAR00004</li> <li>VAR00005</li> <li>VAR00006</li> </ul>		E <u>x</u> act Statistics
Test Type <u>Friedman Kendall</u> OK	s W <u>Cochran's Q</u> Paste <u>R</u> eset Cancel	Help



10-6-2011

## **Cochran's Q test: application?**

- > Cochran's Q is often used for meta-analyses, e.g:
  - Is there a difference in treatments (to test)...?
  - Is there a difference in tasks (to test)...?
  - Is there a difference in materials (to test)...?
- > But also: are ... used in the same rate or is there a difference?
  - Methods
  - Materials
  - Devices



10-6-2011

- > Background:
  - A teacher has been observed on 20 different moments in his lessons (when he was about to explain something)
  - Observed on 3 different teaching strategies:
    - Point out the subject
    - Correspond with questions of students
    - Use of a stimulating beginning
  - Question: does the teacher use these strategies in the same rate or is there a difference?



10-6-2011

	aangevenonderwer p	aansluitenbijvrage nlln	prikkelendbegin
1	1	0	0
2	1	0	0
3	1	1	0
4	1	0	1
5	1	1	0
6	0	1	0
7	1	0	0
8	1	1	0
9	1	1	0
10	1	1	0
11	1	0	0
12	0	1	0
13	1	0	1
14	1	0	1
15	1	1	0
16	1	0	1
17	1	0	0
18	1	1	0
19	1	1	0
20	1	1	1



10-6-2011





10-6-2011

#### Cochran's Q test: example

Frequencies				
	Value			
	0	1		
aangeven onderwerp	2	18		
aansluiten bij vragen <u>lln</u>	9	11		
prikkelend begin	15	5		

Test Statistics					
N	20				
Cochran's Q	13,368ª				
df	2				
Asymp. Sig.	,001				

a. 1 is treated as a

success.

> There is a significant difference in the use of teaching strategies used by this teacher (X<sub>2</sub>(2) = 13.37, p = .001)



10-6-2011

- When you find any significant effect, you need to do a post-hoc test (as you do for ANOVA)
- For Cochran's Q test: run multiple McNemar's tests and adjust the p values with Bonferroni correction (a method used to address the problem of multiple comparisons, overcorrects for Type I error)



10-6-2011

#### Cochran's Q test: example

#### aangeven onderwerp & aansluiten bij vragen IIn

aangeven onderwerp	aansluiten bij vrag	
	0	1
0	0	2
1	9	9

#### aangeven onderwerp & prikkelend begin

aangeven onderwerp	prikkelend begin	
	0	1
0	2	0
1	13	5

#### aansluiten bij vragen IIn & prikkelend begin

aansluiten bij vragen IIn	prikkelend begin	
	0	1
0	5	4
1	10	1

	aangeven onderwerp & aansluiten bij vragen IIn	aangeven onderwerp & prikkelend begin	aansluiten bij vragen lin & prikkelend begin		
N	20	20	20		
Exact Sig. (2-tailed)	,065ª	,000ª	,180ª		

Tost Statistics<sup>b</sup>

a. Binomial distribution used.

b. McNemar Test



10-6-2011

#### Cochran's Q test: example

- > Bonferroni correction:
  - $\alpha = 0.05$
  - 3 comparisons

0.05/	′3=	0.01	6666	6666	666666	
0.00/		<b>0</b> , <b>0</b>			000000	

 Only the difference between the use of 'point out the subject' and 'stimulating beginning' is significant

	aangeven onderwerp & aansluiten bij vragen IIn	aangeven onderwerp & prikkelend begin	aansluiten bij vragen IIn & prikkelend begin
Ν	20	20	20
Exact Sig. (2-tailed)	,065ª	,000ª	,180ª

Test Statistics<sup>b</sup>

a. Binomial distribution used.

b. McNemar Test



### Limitations of the Cochran's Q test

- Only determines the occurrence of a change, but does not evaluates the extent of change
  - Possible to do multiple McNemar's tests, but no interaction effect can be measured



## Limitations of the Cochran's Q test

- Only determines the occurrence of a change, but does not evaluates the extent of change
  - Possible to do multiple McNemar's tests, but no interaction effect can be measured
- The test is known to be poor at detecting true heterogeneity among studies as significant
  - Meta-analyses often include small numbers of studies, and the power of the test in such circumstances is low
  - Because the test is poor at detecting true heterogeneity, a nonsignificant result cannot be taken as evidence of homogeneity



## Limitations of the Cochran's Q test

- Only determines the occurrence of a change, but does not evaluates the extent of change
  - Possible to do multiple McNemar's tests, but no interaction effect can be measured
- The test is known to be poor at detecting true heterogeneity among studies as significant
  - Meta-analyses often include small numbers of studies, and the power of the test in such circumstances is low
  - Because the test is poor at detecting true heterogeneity, a nonsignificant result cannot be taken as evidence of homogeneity
- The test does not accommodate a control group, because it is a test for use with dependent observations



10-6-2011

#### Discussion

> To begin with:

# **Questions?**



10-6-2011

#### Discussion

- > Discussion points?
- > ...for example:
  - Is this analysis usefull in Linguistic analyses?
  - Is it applicable in <u>your</u> research (e.g. pilot study)?



10-6-2011

#### References

- > Cochran, W.G. (1950). The Comparison of Percentages in Matched Samples. *Biometrika, 37*, 256-66.
- > Higgins, J.P.T., Thompson, S.G., Deeks, J.J., Altman, D.G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*, *327*, 557-560.
- > Pett, M.A. (1997). *Nonparametric Statistics for Health Care Research: Statistics for Small Samples and Unusual Distributions.* Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.